# **PK-PD** modeling of Wake after Sleep Onset time-course

- Dept. of Information Engineering, University of Padova, Padova, Italy Clinical Pharmacology/Modeling&Simulation, GlaxoSmithKline, Verona, Italy

#### Introduction

 Wake after Sleep Onset (WASO) quantifies the night time spent awake after falling in a persistent sleep state and is considered one of the key sleep parameters to characterize the effect of a hypnotic drug

SB-649868 is a potent orexin antagonist with demonstrated ability of decreasing WASO. A pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) model using total WASO (i.e., WASO on the whole night) has recently been developed [1].

#### **Objectives**

- a) To model SB-649868 effect on WASO time course (i.e., WASO by hour), and to compare WASO time-course vs. total WASO model outcomes
- b) To evaluate the impact of these PK-PD models on the power calculation for a new dose with an improved formulation.

# **Methods**

# Data

Data were obtained from a 2-days polysomnography (PSG) study. The pharmacokinetic profile of SB-649868 were also investigated after 2 consecutive days dosing

| 52 Subjects with primary insomnia were evaluated in a polysomnography<br>(PSG) randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over study |   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ← ≤2<br>Screening<br>Incl. PSG  | 8 days → ← ≤7<br><b>Dosing 1</b><br>Placebo,<br>10, 30 or<br>60mg 868 | days→ ← ≤7<br>Dosing 2<br>Placebo,<br>10, 30 or<br>60mg 868 | days→ ← ≤7<br><b>Dosing 3</b><br>Placebo,<br>10, 30 or<br>60mg 868 | days→<br><b>Dosing 4</b><br>Placebo,<br>10, 30 or<br>60mg 868 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **Model Development**

 PK profiles were modeled with a one compartment oral with absorption lag ('model PK1'). Log-normal inter-individual variability and inter-occasion variability were assumed for each model parameter (clearance CL, volume V, absorption rate constant KA and lag time ALAG1).

Modeled PK profiles were then used to develop the PK-PD model on WASO time-course

 The final PK-PD model (on WASO time-course) was validated through goodness-of-fit plots and visual predictive check (VPC) on both WASO time-course and total WASO.

• VPC on total WASO was compared with the one obtained from the PK-PD model on total WASO [1].

## Simulations / Power Calculation

• The model on WASO time-course ('model B') and the model on total WASO (<u>'model A'</u>) were used to predict total WASO after treatment with different doses using an improved formulation (1000 simulated individuals).

 New formulation was modeled with a 1-compartment PK model as the old formulation but with no inter-occasion variability and reduced interindividual variability ('model PK2').

 Power calculation was performed using simulations from the two models for a dose not previously explored (20 mg).

#### Results

#### **PK-PD** model

 The final model for WASO time-course assumed that each contribution to total WASO (observed at 1hour intervals), LG<sub>T</sub>, T in [1, 8], was described by its own typical parameter, in the logit scale

 The individual deviation from the typical logit value was assumed to belong to a normal distribution and correlated to the deviations for the same subject in the other intervals (one ETA for all  $LG_{T}$ , IIV, plus 8 ETAs, one for each  $LG_{T}$ , taken from a 'SAME' OMEGA, called inter-time variability, ITV):



 First night effect and treatment effect (with different values for each  $LG_T$ ) were found to be statistically significant. Inter-occasion variability (IOV) was also considered, with a unique value for all  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{LG}_{\mathsf{T}}}$ 

ETA(1)+DAY2\*THETA(2)+IIV+ITV+IOV+TRT\*THETA(Z)

 A Weibull model was found to best describe the concentration-related effect: TIME can take values in [2, 9] ; T is (TIME-1) ; WASL is LGT ; F is the drug concentration at time TIME WASD=60°EXP(WASL)/(1+EXP(WASL))

EFF=WASO\*(EXP(-(F/TB)\*\*(-ALPHA))) ENDIF WASOEF=WASO-EFF

typic of L shift at di TB

ALPHA and TB were assumed to be described with two parameters each, one at TIME in [2, 3], one for TIME > 3. A first night effect and log-normal interindividual variability (IIV) were assumed on TB, with no differences among TIME values.

 All parameter values are shown in Table I. VPC showed good performance of the model for both WASO time-course (Fig. 1) and total WASO (Fig. 2, right panel).

| Table I. Parameter estimates for PK-PD model on WASO time- |                 |                   |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| course. Standard errors are shown within parenthesis.      |                 |                   |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| Т  | 1               | 2                 | 3               | 4               | 5               | 6               | 7               | 8               |  |  |  |
| al values<br>S   | -4.53<br>(0.43) | -2.48<br>(0.30)   | -2.15<br>(0.22) | -2.22<br>(0.18) | -1.44<br>(0.21) | -2.07<br>(0.22) | -2.12<br>(0.24) | -1.49<br>(0.30) |  |  |  |
| of LG<br>ay 2  | 0 FIX           | -0.94<br>(0.25)   | -0.85<br>(0.20) | -0.42<br>(0.19) | -0.87<br>(0.22) | 0 FIX           | 0 FIX           | 0 FIX           |  |  |  |
|  | not used        | 458<br>(467)      |                 | 33<br>(25)      |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| на   | not used        | 0.49<br>(0.14)    |                 | 0.46 (0.07)     |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| of LG<br>treatment   | 0.76<br>(0.47)  | 0.61<br>(0.39)    | 0.44<br>(0.35)  | 1.37<br>(0.39)  | 0.93<br>(0.35)  | 1.13<br>(0.42)  | 1.66<br>(0.56)  | 2.27<br>(0.93)  |  |  |  |
| er parameters not dependent on T                           |                 |                   |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| ive deviation<br>B at day2                                 | 0.90<br>(0.22)  | additive<br>error | 0.18            | prop. e         | rror            | 0.92<br>(0.02)  |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| IV   | 0.24            | var. ITV          | 0.28            | var. IO         | /               | 0.70            | var. IIV        | 1.57            |  |  |  |

VPC on WASO time-course ('model PK1' & 'model B') Placebo - day 1 Placebo - day 2



#### **PK-PD** models comparison

#### VPC

When comparing the PK-PD model on WASO time course ('model B') to the previous one on total WASO ('model A'), similar outcomes were obtained on the VPC level (Fig. 2). IIV is slightly over estimated in the former and slightly under estimated in the latter



#### Simulations with new formulation

'Model B' reduces residual unexplained variability (RUV) (Fig. 3): in the simulations using the improved formulation RUV dropped from 30% with PK-PD model on total WASO to 10% with PK-PD model on WASO time-course. Total WASO simulations with 'model PK2'



'Model A' appears to associate most of the PD data variability to PK variability: consequently, variability reduction in the formulation (variance on CL IIV from 0.18 to 0.10  $[L/hr]^2,$  variance on CL IOV from 0.10 to o [L/hr]<sup>2</sup>) produces strong variability reduction in the simulations in Fig. 3, left panel. On the contrary, it appears that the new PK-PD model ('model B') is less influenced by PK variability (Fig. 3, right panel).

Power Calculation The different IIV variability estimates in the two PK-PD models bring to different outcomes for power calculation (PK2, 20 mg, n=50 subjects): the power of detecting an effect size in total WASO of 1 minutes at q=0.05 is 56% with the new PK-PD model and 74% with the old one.

## Conclusions

• A new PK-PD model has been developed for accurately assessing both the time-course and the total WASO, after treatment with SB-649868. • Residual unexplained variability was reduced considering the time-course model instead of the total WASO model proposed in [1]. Variability estimation is crucial when characterizing the potential effect of changes in doses and formulation to properly design future studies.

#### References

[1] Zamuner S, Nucci G, Bettica P, Gerrard P, Squassante L ni R. SLEEP, Volume 32, Abstract Supplement, 2009 Abstract nr 0853

GlaxoSmithKline